

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JUAN JOSE ARREDONDO, III,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ECOLAB INC.; dba NALCO ENERGY
SERVICES LP,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-00044

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION


On April 20, 2015, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation” (D.E. 68), recommending denial of Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (D.E. 19, 41). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 68), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the motion for summary judgment, as supplemented (D.E. 19, 41) is **DENIED**.

ORDERED this 6th day of May, 2015.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE